<span id="page-0-0"></span>A New Approach to Probe Non-Standard Interactions in Atmospheric Neutrino Experiments (JHEP 2021, 159 (2021) arXiv: 2101.02607)

Anil Kumar

Research Scholar, India-based Neutrino Observatory (INO) (Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India)

Collaborators: Amina Khatun, Sanjib Kumar Agarwalla, Amol Dighe

April 19, 2021 APS April Meeting 2021



## Iron Calorimeter Detector (ICAL) at INO<sup>1</sup>

- **ICAL@INO:** Proposed 50 kton magnetized iron detector
- **RPC** (active element) sandwiched between iron plates (passive element)
- **Source:** Atmospheric neutrinos and antineutrinos
- Charged-Current Interaction:  $\nu_{\mu}$  produces  $\mu^{-}$ and  $\bar\nu_\mu$  produces  $\mu^+$
- **Uniqueness:** Charge identification of muons helps in distinguishing  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$
- Muon energy range:  $1 25$  GeV, Muon energy resolution:  $\sim 10\%$
- Baselines:  $15 12000$  km, Muon zenith angle resolution:  $\sim 1^{\circ}$





1 Pramana - J Phys (2017) 88 : 79, arXiv:1505.07380

 $\rightarrow$  4 F  $\rightarrow$  F H  $\rightarrow$  9.9.0

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>Oscillation dip and valley in  $\nu_{\mu}$  survival probability

$$
P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \cdot \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m_{32}^2 L_{\nu}}{4E_{\nu}}\right)
$$

- **•** The first oscillation minima results in **oscillation**  $\mathbf{dip}$  in  $\mathsf{L}/\mathsf{E}$  and **oscillation valley** in  $(\mathsf{L},\mathsf{E})$  plane.
- $\bullet$  The U/D ratio of the reconstructed muon events is a good proxy for  $\nu_{\mu}$  survival probability.
- $\bullet$  The  $U/D$  ratio automatically cancels most of the systematic uncertainties.



(2021), arXiv:2006.14529

## $\mathsf{U}/\mathsf{D}$  ratio (defined for  $\cos\theta_\mu^\mathrm{rec}< 0)$

$$
\mathsf{U}/\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{E}^{\mathrm{rec}}_{\mu},\cos\theta^{\mathrm{rec}}_{\mu})\equiv\frac{\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{E}^{\mathrm{rec}}_{\mu},-\vert\cos\theta^{\mathrm{rec}}_{\mu}\vert)}{\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{E}^{\mathrm{rec}}_{\mu},+\vert\cos\theta^{\mathrm{rec}}_{\mu}\vert)}\;,
$$

where  $\mathcal{N}(E_\mu^\text{rec},\cos\theta_\mu^\text{rec})$  is the number of events with energy  $E_\mu^\text{rec}$  and zenith angle  $\theta_\mu^\text{rec}.$ 

 $L_{\nu}=\sqrt{(R+h)^2-(R-d)^2\sin^2\theta_{\nu}}-(R-d)\cos\theta_{\nu}$ , where R, h, and  $d$  are the radius of Earth (6371 km), the average height from the Earth surface at which neutrinos are created (15 km), and the depth of the detector underground (0 km), respectively. KID KARIK KE KIEN IE KORA

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>Neutral current Non-Standard Interactions (NSI) Neutral current NSI in propagation through matter.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{NC-NSI}} = -2\sqrt{2} G_F \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{Cf} (\bar{v}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\rho} P_L v_{\beta}) (\bar{f} \gamma_{\rho} P_C f)
$$
  
where,  $P_L = (1 - \gamma_5)/2$ ,  $P_R = (1 + \gamma_5)/2$ , and  $C = L, R$ .  

$$
\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{f=e,u,d} \frac{V_f}{V_{CC}} \left( \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{Lf} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{Rf} \right)
$$

where,  $V_{\text{CC}} =$ √  $2G_F N_e, V_f =$ √  $2G_F N_f, f = e, u, d.$ 

$$
H_{mat} = \sqrt{2} G_F N_e \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon_{ee} & \varepsilon_{e\mu} & \varepsilon_{e\tau} \\ \varepsilon_{e\mu}^* & \varepsilon_{\mu\mu} & \varepsilon_{\mu\tau} \\ \varepsilon_{e\tau}^* & \varepsilon_{\mu\tau}^* & \varepsilon_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}
$$

In atmospheric neutrinos,  $\mu - \tau$  channel is dominant, hence, we choose to study about  $\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}$  (only real values)

$$
H_{mat} = \sqrt{2} G_F N_e \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{\mu\tau} \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{\mu\tau}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

ഹൈ

## Event generation at ICAL

In this analysis, we use:

- Neutrino Flux at INO site (Theni)
- Unoscillated neutrino events generation using  $\mathsf{NUANCE}^2$  for ICAL geometry
- Three flavor matter oscillation with PREM<sup>3</sup> profile
- Migration matrices<sup>4</sup> for detector response to muons obtained from ICAL-GEANT4 simulation

The values of the benchmark oscillation parameters used in this analysis.



 $2$ D. Casper, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 112 (2002) 161

3 A.M. Dziewonski et al. Phys.Earth Planet.Interiors 25 (1981) 297-356

4 Animesh Chatterjee et al. 2014 JINST 9 P07001, arXiv:1405.724[3](#page-3-0)  $F = \Omega Q$ 

## Shift in dip location in reconstructed muon observables



- Statistical uncertainty calculated using 100 simulated sets of 10-year data.
- The location of dip  $d^-$  or  $d^+$  depends on magnitude as well as sign of  $\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}.$
- $d^-$  and  $d^+$  shift in the opposite direction due to  $\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}.$
- d<sup>−</sup> and d<sup>+</sup> shift in the same direction due to change in  $\Delta m^2_{32}$ .
- New variable  $\Delta d = d^+ d^+$  depends on  $\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}$  but independent of  $\Delta m^2_{32}$ (true).

Anil Kumar et al., JHEP 2021, 159 (2021), arXiv: 2101.02607

E H K E H E H S A G O

## Constraints on  $\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}$  from measurement of  $\Delta d$

- We calibrate  $\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}$  with respect to  $\Delta d$  using 1000 yr Monte Carlo.
- The 90% C.L. are obtained using multiple simulated sets of 10-year data.
- **Variation over oscillation parameters: 20** random choices of oscillation parameters for each 10-year simulated data set, according to the Gaussian distribution using  $\sigma$  from current global fit.
- **Systematics errors with Gaussian** distributions: overall flux normalization  $(20%)$ , cross sections  $(10%)$ , energy dependence (5%), zenith angle dependence  $(5\%)$ , and overall systematics  $(5\%)$ .  $-0.3$   $-0.2$   $-0.1$  0 0.1 0.2 0.3



#### 90% C.L.:

- Fixed param., no syst:  $-0.024 < \varepsilon_{\mu\tau} < 0.020$
- $\bullet$  Varied param., with syst.:  $-0.025 < \varepsilon_{\mu\tau} < 0.024$

Anil Kumar et al., JHEP 2021, 159 (2021), arXiv: 2101.02607 ( ロ ) 《 리 》 《 ヨ 》 《 ヨ 》 (ヨ ) »

 $QQ$ 

## <span id="page-7-0"></span>Oscillation valley in neutrino survival probability



The presence of NSI results in the curvature of oscillation valley (dark blue diagonal band).

Anil Kumar et al., JHEP 2021, 159 (2021), arXiv: 2101.02607

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

 $QQ$ 

국내학

## <span id="page-8-0"></span>Identifying NSI through Oscillation Valley

For 
$$
\Delta_{21}^2 L/4E \rightarrow 0
$$
,  $\theta_{13} = 0$ , and  $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$ , we have<sup>7</sup>,

$$
P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) = \cos^2 \left[ L \left( \frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{4E} + \varepsilon_{\mu\tau} V_{CC} \right) \right]
$$

Fitting function for oscillation valley

$$
f(x,y) = z_0 + N_0 \cos^2\left(m_\alpha \frac{x}{y} + \alpha x^2\right)
$$

The parameter  $\alpha$  is the measure of the curvature of oscillation valley.

7 Irina Mocioiu et al., Nuclear Physics B 893 (2015) 376–390, arXi[v:14](#page-7-0)1[0.6](#page-9-0)[1](#page-7-0)[93](#page-8-0)  $QQQ$ 

## <span id="page-9-0"></span>Curvature of oscillation valley in reconstructed muon observables

- Mean of 100 U/D distribution for 10 year data in presence of NSI  $(\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}=-0.1$  and 0.1).
- **O** Solid black and dashed black lines show conical cut of  $log_{10} L/E = 2.2$  and  $log_{10} L/E = 3.1$  respectively which includes bins used for fitting.
- Solid white and dashed white lines show contours with  $U/D$  ratio of 0.4 and 0.5 respectively for fitted function  $f(x, y) =$  $z_0+N_0\cos^2\left(m_\alpha\frac{x}{y}+\alpha x^2\right).$



Anil Kumar et al., JHEP 2021, 159 (2021), arXiv: 2101.02607

 $E|E \cap Q$ 

Constraints on  $\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}$  using curvature of oscillation valley  $\Delta m_\alpha = m_{\alpha^-} - m_{\alpha^+}$  and  $\Delta \alpha = \alpha^- - \alpha^+$ 



90% C.L.:

- Fixed param., no syst:  $-0.022 < \varepsilon_{\mu\tau} < 0.021$
- Varied param., with syst.:  $-0.024 < \varepsilon_{\mu\tau} < 0.020$

Anil Kumar et al., JHEP 2021, 159 (2021), arXiv: 2101.02607  $F = \Omega$ Anil Kumar (anil.k@iopb.res.in) [A new approach to probe NSI](#page-0-0) APS April Meeting, 2021 11/12

## <span id="page-11-0"></span>Conclusion

- ICAL has good reconstruction efficiency for  $\mu^-$  and  $\mu^+$  over a wide range of energy and direction.
- Oscillation dip and oscillation valley can be observed in reconstructed muon observables at ICAL.
- We propose a new approach to utilize oscillation dip and oscillation valley to probe neutral-current NSI parameter  $\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}$ .
- A new variable representing shift in location of dip for  $\mu^-$  and  $\mu^+$  is used to constrain NSI parameter  $\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}$ .
- The contrast in curvature of valley for  $\mu^-$  and  $\mu^+$  is used to constrain NSI parameter  $\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}$ .

Acknowledgement: We acknowledge financial support from the Department of Atomic Energy (DAE), Department of Science and Technology (DST), Govt. of India, and the Indian National Science Academy (INSA).

# Thank you

## Backup: Identifying the dip



- The left panel shows 5 representative set of 10-year simulated data and thick black line shows mean of 100 simulated sets of 10-year data.
- The right panel shows dip identification algorithm where we start with initial ratio threshold which is shown as dashed black line.
- If ratio threshold passes through more than one dip then we decrease the ratio threshold.
- The blue dashed line shows the final ratio threshold which passes through only single oscillation dip.
- $\bullet$  The bins with  $U/D$  ratio less than final ratio threshold are fitted with parabola to obtain location of dip.

Anil Kumar et. al. EPJC 81, 190 (2021), arXiv:2006.14529

 $\triangleright$   $\rightarrow$   $\exists$   $\triangleright$   $\exists$   $\exists$   $\land$   $\land$   $\land$ 

#### Backup: Variation of oscillation parameters

We first simulated 100 statistically independent unoscillated data sets. Then for each of these data sets, we take 20 random choices of oscillation parameters, according to the gaussian distributions  $\Delta m^2_{21} = (7.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5} \; \text{eV}^2$  ,  $\Delta m^2_{32} = (2.46 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-5} \; \text{eV}^2$  ,  $\sin^2 2 \theta_{12} = 0.855 \pm 0.020 \,\, , \,\,\, \sin^2 2 \theta_{13} = 0.0875 \pm 0.0026 \,\, , \,\,\, \sin^2 \theta_{23} =$  $0.50 \pm 0.03$ .

guided by the present global fit. We keep  $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 0$ , since its effect on  $\nu_{\mu}$ survival probability is known to be highly suppressed in the multi-GeV energy range. This procedure effectively enables us to consider the variation of our results over 2000 different combinations of oscillation parameters, to take into account the effect of their uncertainties.

KID KARIK KE KIEN IE KORA

#### Backup: Systematics uncertainties

The five uncertainties are (i) 20% in overall flux normalization, (ii) 10% in cross sections, (iii)  $5\%$  in the energy dependence, (iv)  $5\%$  in the zenith angle dependence, and (iii) 5% in overall systematics. For each of the 2000 simulated data sets, we modify the number of events in each  $(E_{\mu}^{\rm rec}, \cos\theta_{\mu}^{\rm rec})$  bin as

$$
\mathsf{N} = \mathsf{N}^{(0)}(1+\delta_1)(1+\delta_2)(\mathsf{E}^{\mathrm{rec}}_{\mu}/\mathsf{E}_0)^{\delta_3}(1+\delta_4\cos\theta^{\mathrm{rec}}_{\mu})(1+\delta_5) \;,
$$

where  $\mathcal{N}^{(0)}$  is the theoretically predicted number of events, and  $E_0=2$ GeV. Here  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5)$  is an ordered set of random numbers, generated separately for each simulated data set, with the gaussian distributions centred around zero and the  $1\sigma$  widths given by  $(20\%, 10\%, 5\%, 5\%, 5\%)$ .

KOD KARD KED KED EN AGA

## Backup:  $P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu})$  in presence of NSI



#### Location of dip shits in the presence of NSI.

Anil Kumar et al., JHEP 2021, 159 (2021), arXiv: 2101.02607

**← ロ → → ← 何 →** 

**KERKER EE KAAR** 

## Backup: Event distribution in presence of NSI



Anil Kumar et al., JHEP 2021, 159 (2021), arXiv: 2101.02607

€⊡

÷ Anil Kumar (anil.k@iopb.res.in) [A new approach to probe NSI](#page-0-0) APS April Meeting, 2021 5/5

 $QQQ$